


the amendments to the claims and the remarks presented herein as well as the arguments presented in the response of June 21, 2002.

The claims in the application are claims 2 to 8 and 10 to 16, all other claims having been cancelled.

In the office action, the Examiner noted that claims 6 and 7 were dependent upon cancelled claim 1 and the present amendment rewrites claims 6 and 7 to be dependent upon claim 15. The oversight is regretted. Claim 9 has been cancelled but has been presented as new claim 16.

In view of the amendments to the claims and the above remarks, it is believed that the claims clearly point out Applicants' patentable contribution. Therefore, favorable reconsideration of the application is requested in view of the remarks presented in the amendment of June 21, 2002.

Respectfully submitted,  
Bierman, Muserlian and Lucas

By:   
Charles A. Muserlian #19,683  
Attorney for Applicants  
Tel.# (212) 661-8000

CAM:ds  
Enclosures



410.016

MARKED UP VERSION OF CLAIMS SHOWING CHANGES MADE

RECEIVED  
SEP 24 2002  
Technology Center 2000

**Claim 6** (twice amended) The process according to claim [1] 15 implemented in a preparation laboratory receiving therapeutic kits from at least one operational entity (EX), wherein it further comprises stages for monitoring the transfer of these kits.

**Claim 7** (twice amended) The process according to claim [1] 15 implemented in a preparation laboratory which deals with a cytappheresis service, wherein it further comprises stages for monitoring the receipt of cytappheresis pouches.